# Impact Analysis Report/ RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0176 (RTC-60352)** |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM477852 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-DE |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1-v1.00 – CSE-v51.6.0)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard  Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes  No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5: (DDNTA-5.14.1 CSE-v51.60) – Replacing B1822 by C0505** |
| Removal of B1822, addition of C0505 on MC.HC.CI.Consignee/Address/postcode.  An optional correction that will harmonize the content of the IE906 in case of error with postcode optionality violating C0505 during the Transitional Period. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| The following issue was detected in DDNTA-5.14.0-v1.00:  The R&C applied on MC.HC.CI.Consignee/Address/postcode is not correct.  Indeed, C0505 should be applicable like with any of the other *postcode* data items.  But adding C0505 while keeping B1822 is not correct, it would be redundant.  Considering B2400 applied on the data group MC.HC.CI.Consignee already limiting the usage to the TP, the B1822 becomes superfluous.  *B1822 =*  ***IF <Decisive Date> is LESS than or EQUAL to <TPendDate>***  *THEN*  *IF /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/postcode = “O”*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/postcode = “R”*  This B1822 is not necessary and can be eliminated from DDNTA. As illustrated with bold text, the DG shall not be used during TP.  *B2400 =*  ***IF <Decisive Date> is GREATER than <TPendDate>***  *THEN /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee = “N”;*  In this context, the C0505 is required and sufficient.  *C0505* looks like  IF /\*/………/Address/country is in SET CL505  THEN /\*/………/Address/postcode = "O"  ELSE /\*/………/Address/postcode = "R"  The TRTs E1102 and E1104 (also applied inside the data group) are kept for harmonization reasons as agreed.   * MC.HC.CI.Consignee must not be used during TP. Therefore, it does not matter whether the (also not allowed) country code is in code list CL505 or not. * The postcode’s optionality is not dependent from TP. Even after TP the postcode needs to be mandatory unless the country code is in code list CL505. But a condition for this is missing. |

**Section 3:** **Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-5.14.1 - CSE-v51.6.0 (incl. Appendix Q2) and the CSE-v51.6.0** shall be corrected as follows (addition of **text highlighted in yellow** – removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~ ):  The following correction will be applied on CC013C, CC015C, CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CD038C, CD050C, CD115C, CD160C, CD165C:  (…)  ------ CONSIGNMENT ITEM ~~9~~999x R ~~E1402~~  Goods item number R n..5 R0988  Declaration goods item number R n..5 R0007  Declaration type D an..5 CL232 C0045, G0062, R0601, R0909  Country of dispatch D a2 CL008 C0909, G0062, G0988  Country of destination D a2 CL008 C0343, G0062  Reference number UCR D an..70 B1895, C0502, G0002, G0062  --------- CONSIGNEE 1x O B1820, B2400, G0001  Identification number O an..17 R0851  Name R an..70 E1104  ------------ ADDRESS 1x R  Street and number R an..70 E1104  Postcode ~~O~~ D an..17 ~~B1822~~ C0505, E1102  City R an..35  Country R a2 CL199  (…)  Consequently, B1822 will be removed completely from the next DDNTA release.  Also, C0505 will be corrected as follows:  ***Technical Description:***  *IF /\*/HolderOfTheTransitProcedure/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/HolderOfTheTransitProcedure/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/HolderOfTheTransitProcedure/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/Consignor/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/Consignor/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/Consignor/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/Consignee/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/Consignee/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/Consignee/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/Incident/Location/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/Incident/Location/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/Incident/Location/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/LocationOfGoods/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/LocationOfGoods/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/LocationOfGoods/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignor/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignor/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignor/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignee/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignee/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/Consignee/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/HouseConsignment/ ConsignmentItem/Consignee/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Guarantor/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Guarantor/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Guarantor/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/GuaranteeReference/Guarantor/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/GuaranteeReference/Guarantor/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/GuaranteeReference/Guarantor/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/GuaranteeReference/Owner/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/GuaranteeReference/Owner/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/GuaranteeReference/Owner/Address/postcode = "R";*  *IF /\*/Consignment/ConsigneeActual/Address/country is in SET CL505*  *THEN /\*/Consignment/ConsigneeActual/Address/postcode = "O"*  *ELSE /\*/Consignment/ConsigneeActual/Address/postcode = "R"*  **No impact on the conversion**  **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**  This RFC-Proposal impacts External Domain and Common Domain messages, only during the Transitional Period.  It requires an upgrade of the ieCA (immediately) after the NTA-P5 already operational in NCTS-P5 have been upgraded to DDNTA-5.15.0. (see below the *Proposed date of applicability in Operations*)  For the NAs starting after 1.12.2022, the change should be applied in their NTA (ideally) before starting their NCTS-P5 operations.    **Risk of not adopting this change**: Low. The information exchanged remains valid, only the rejection reasons would be not harmonized among the NTA. Not blocking but a small loss of efficiency.  **Risk of not implementing this change**: The information exchanged remains valid, only the rejection reasons would be not harmonized among the NTA. Not blocking but a small loss of efficiency limited to the likely small number of rejection messages that would be incorrect during TP.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   **Optional** change – Flexible timing (recommended 01.12.2022)  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  February 2022  **Impact on transition**: None  **Impacted messages:**   * Common Domain Messages: CC013C, CC015C, CD001C, CD003C, CD012C, CD038C, CD050C, CD115C, CD160C, CD165C.     **Impacted CIs:**  **• CSE-v51.6.0: Yes;**  **• DDNTA-v5.14.1 (Appendix Q2, PDFs, XLSX): Yes;**  **• DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00 (incl. NCTS- Data Mapping- v0.43 file): Yes;**  **• CRP-v5.6-v1.00: Yes;**  **• ACS - v5.6.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.6.0: No;**  **• CTP-5.8.0-v1.00: Yes;**  **• TRP-5.8.0: Yes;**  • CTS-5.7.0-v1.00: No;  • Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No;  • UCC IA/DA Annex B: No;  • DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No;  • DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No;  • ieCA 1.0.3.0: No;  • AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No;  • CS/MIS2\_DATA: No;  • CS/RD2\_DATA: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **CSE-v51.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in section 3** | |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendices)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Appendices generated by CSE + Appendix Q2, Q2\_R\_C, K** | |
| **DMP Package-v5.6.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **At least the list of R&C.** | |
| **CTS-5.6.1-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **To be assessed** | |
| **NCTS\_TRP-5.8.0** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Alignment of messages according to the updates of specifications.**  **Impact on content of error messages. Removal of B1822.** | |
| **CTP-5.8.0-v1.00** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Alignment of test data according to the updates of specifications. (to be precised)** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **The change is optional and can be applied in a flexible way (useful only before the end of the Transitional Period).** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by TAXUD/B3 | 03/02/2022 |  |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 07/02/2022 | *RFC-Proposal Emergency - Resolving defect identified by NA-DE.*  *\*OPTIONAL\** |